

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Sprint Docket No. 2284)

In re	Application of:)	
	Farni Weaver)	~
Serial	No. 10/781,609) .	Group Art Unit 2617
Filed:	February 18, 2004)	Examiner: Randy Peaches
Confi	rmation No. 2700)	
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR)	
	PROVIDING TIMELY MESSAGE DELIVERY)	

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:

Applicants express appreciation for the Examiner's allowance of the present application.

Applicants understand that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

Furthermore, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 7/1/10

By:

Shannon L. Silversmith

Reg. No. 60,938



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Sprint Docket No. 2284)

In re Application of:)
Farni Weaver)
Serial No. 10/781,609) Group Art Unit 2617
Filed: February 18, 2004) Examiner: Randy Peaches
Confirmation No. 2700)
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING TIMELY MESSAGE DELIVERY)

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:

Applicants express appreciation for the Examiner's allowance of the present application.

Applicants understand that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

Furthermore, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: $\frac{7}{110}$

By:

Shannon L. Silversmith

Reg. No. 60,938